Preserve

App. F. IX-4

1931-32 (Muz)

File No. 120-31

Serial Nos. 1 & 2

Previous References

Muz 11-30-1, 28, 37 & 57.
" 827-30-1 & 2.
" 129-09-1-2.
" 227-95-1-20-1-29
~~*Later References*~~
" 1-20-30, 31, 32

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for ~~March~~ Feb 1932.

SUBJECT.

Review appeal petition of M. A. Appa-Iyengar & others, Hosa Agrahar, Mysore re: certain Mariyades shown to Sri Parakala Mutt in the Sri Narayanaswami temple at Melkote

Endorsement No. 1315 of 23.3.32 to Asst. stating that the orders passed cannot be reconsidered

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 120 of 193

Serial No.

1

Petition of 19-10-31 filed by the V. Lakshmikanta Advocate on behalf of Messrs Abbaraja Iyengar, and Asuri Narasimhan stating that Sri Parakala Mutt has been urged to enlarge its rights for Mangalams in the Vishnu temples and more especially in Sri Narayana Swami and Sri Varadaraja Swamy temples at Melkote.

(1) Dft: submitted — Fl. Secy) (2) VS 27.11.31

(3) This is a review petition and does not think it can be sent to the M.C for report, without a preliminary examination of the case in the Secn.

(4) A note may be put on the more important points raised in the petn.

(5) The G.O of 4th April 1896 - No 1230-32 which appears the relevant one the original may also be put up.

h 30/11/31 VS

Ruled.

Monthly Volume for 1896 is obtained & put up - It does not contain the order referred to in para 5. Repeated attempts are being made to find out the File called for by the Fl Secy & these will be furnished with a note as soon as it is received.

9-5 MSK 2/12. Fl. Secy) Vasudeva

12.12.31 VS

h 31.12.31 VS

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,0

Mag. file 227 of 1895-96 containing Rubakari 1230-32 of 4.4.1896 called for in para 5 is put up.

In para 2 - page 2 of the O & D the petitioners complain that certain menyads were given to Sri Ganapatana Parakala Swamy personally and that they have been extended to the successive Swamies of the Sri Mutt - The Concerned files & statements will have to be verified after getting the connected records from the M-C.

Kind regd is again invited to para 16 in which the petitioners say that the case of 19.6.20 has not been disposed of finally yet in M-C but in addn to the appl No to prevent entry the Sri Mutt about some temple disputes & cross cases have been communicated which. These allegations will have to be verified only on receipt of connected records & note submitted thereafter is finished.

GS 7.8.21
8/1

Please put up the file containing G.O. no 3431-40/Mis 1-20-28 of 2.4.1921.

Asst
16/1

The collection containing the G.O. refd to in para 13 is obtained from the other file & put up.

GS 9.8.21
20/1

* Flag O already (Circled)

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 120 of 193 1.

Serial No.

11. Files relating to the Govt orders of 4.4.1896 and 2.4.1921 are put up. Reference is invited to paras 3 and 4 of the latter order, which show that the Sanad ✠ of 20.8.1830 has been accepted as genuine & acted upon.

12. The petitioners' contention is that the orders already communicated to them have been passed only in connection with an appeal filed by the Mutt about a temple dispute, and not on the merits of their case as set forth in their petitions of 1922 and in the written arguments filed by them before the Deputy Com^r in May 1925. The Murrai Com^r's letter No Appl 10/23-4 ✠ of 19.12.30, however, shows that his order in the case was with reference to the petition of 11.12.1922 and on a consideration of the findings of the D^y Com^r in the appeal filed in respect of certain unauthorised marriages among the Mutt. It was in the course of hearing of the latter appeal that the petitioners were given opportunities to file their written arguments. The contention of the petrs that their prayers were not properly considered does therefore not seem to be tenable.

13. As regards the order itself, the petr^s complained before G^t and after a perusal of the records connected with Appeal Case No 10 of 1923-24 and the Murrai Com^r's letter ✠ of 19.12.30 their attention was drawn to the G^t orders issued to them by the Murrai Com^r.

14. The main point urged in the petition is that the Sanad of 1830 is not genuine and on this the Murrai Com^r has stated that the question cannot be reopened except on production of evidence to show that the G.O. of 2.4.1921 was passed on a misapprehension. Para 1 of the Murrai Supdt's report enclosed to G.O. 11.20

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—0,000—13.1.1

File No. 120 of 1931. | General and Revenue Secretariat

on which the G.O of 24-9-21 was based. It shows that the question of the genuineness of the Samad was not directly in issue then and was not gone into in detail. But the Mudrai Court order of 10-9-30 must have been passed on a full consideration of the arguments urged by the petr before the Dy Coll in May 1925. If this point has to be examined further, the complete records relating to the case will have to be called for from the Mudrai Court into a report as to the contentions of the petr in their present petition.

Refee: paras 3 to 5 supra

(Sd) ... 29/1

(1st Member) 29.1.32

Please quote them an endorsement & say that all their representations have already been considered and the orders communicated & them are final

31/1/32

TYPING SECTION 4. FEB. 1932 L. & REV. SEC.

G.S 3/2

(Sd) ... 7.2.32

No 1091 dt 8-2-32 to messrs Appamedya Dejappa and Asst Narayana Dejappa intimating that all their representations were considered by Govt and the orders communicated to them are final.

Memo No 1100 dt 8.2.32

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. — of 193

Serial No.

to Mr V. Lingaraja Advocate Mysore - forwarding copy of the decree —

B 11/2/32

Mysore.

C. Financial Suit Complaint No Rupees and No 1/17

INDIAN POSTS AND TELEGRAPHS DEPARTMENT

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN MYSORE
Date of Receipt 6.2.32
Office Stamp 331

NOTICE.
This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

Charges to pay — Rs. — As.

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT 885 — 13 FEB 1932 — MUZRAI.

Handed in at (Office of Origin.)	Date.	Hour.	Minute.	Service Instructions.	Words.
TO				Recd. here	H. M.

1094/= Rupees 120/3 Pies disposed finally originally in plaintiff's favour in letter

N. B.—The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.
Karim Bux Bros., Printers, Calcutta—1 (B-1)—1-4-30—2,25,000 Bks.

24) In view of the remarks in para 21, page 20 further action is called for in G.O. No. 382 Dep. Revenue 17/2

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 025555 5,000—GPB—

B 19/2

Sec. Secy)

17/2/32

Mrs File No. 120 of 1931. | General and Revenue Secretariat

Petition of 16.2.32 from
Mr Appasamaya Degufgar — West Park
Road, Malleswaram Bangalore
in the matter of certain marginals
shown to Sri Parakala Mutt
Mysore —

In renewal — the papers
with as recorded & no further documents
if any present appear necessary —

Ref:- Orders of 1st Mr at para 2.

No action seems necessary
on the present petition.

20/2/32

6309

Fin: Secy)

24/2/32

26/2/32

COURT FEE SIX ANNAS

COURT FEE

To

The Dewan Sahib,

The Government of His Gracious
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

436
4 - NOV 1931
MUZRA

Tho humble review appeal petition of

1. M. A. Aprramiya Iyengar, resident of Tengalai Agrahar, Mysore.

2. M. Gouri Narayana Iyengar, resident of 118, Hosa Agrahar, Mysore, and several other Srivaishnavas of Tengalai sect residing in and outside the state of Mysore

these represent, most respectfully showeth, that in reply to our humble petition submitted by our Tengalai subjects and devotees and submitted to His Highness in our petitions dated 4/11th December 1928 published in against the Sri Parakala Mutt, as the Chief, and influential extolment of the Maharaja of Mysore and other parts of India, their rights for "Mangalaes" in the Mysore and more especially in the temples of Sri Narayanaswamy hill at Melkote, which the main the four Tirupathis to which all communities of the numbers from the extra

Subject Our petition dated 4/11-12-28 to H.H.Sri Krishna Raja Wodayar IV.

2. Our main cause of complaint is that the Mutt which has been granted certain "Maryades" as honour due to the first Rajaguru Sri Gantavatara Parkalaswamy only at the time of the access to the throne of His Highness' revered grand father the lamented Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar III are being tried to be extended to all the successive Swamies in the face of and even contrary to express written Sannads and nirups granted under the seal and sign Manual of H. H. Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar III, and not being satisfied with that much, to improve upon and enlarge their rights and claims to "Maryadas" in the said two temples to very much more than what were due. The nirup of 1811, which the Sri Mutt has exhibited is the first document giving special honours to the first yathi. Sri Gantavatara Swamy personnally and the said swamy seems to have got more powers vested by the King for control of Temple affairs in a nirup from the said King dated 17th November 1817. It will be noted that there were special privileges given personally to the Guru Sri Gantavatara Parakalaswamy of Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar III and not extended as a hereditary right to the Mutt.

3. Within twelve years of this second nirup when the same Swami was on the Hills as the swami tried to put to shame the other Mutt Swamies, in this case the Sri Ahobalaswamy, by not giving all the privileges due, exercising his own influence and power as Raja Guru Petha when this action of the Swami went to the

Nirup of 1829.

to the notice of His Highness Krishnaraja Wodeyar III and to check the same, that impartial King issued another nirup dated 9th Feb' 1805 restricting the ravages of the Mutt with due sense of justice to other parties who felt harassed by such invasions A perusal of this nirup will show that such invasions into rights and honours not due to the Mutt had actually begun to be perpetrated even contrary to the definite injunctions laid explicitly by the two Sannads granted in the first Swami's favour by Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar III in 1811 and 1817.

Nirup of 26-7-1820.

4. To set at rest the frequent urgings for the Mutt another paper was said to have been issued under the name of Krishnaraja Wodeyar III to the same Gantavatara Parakalaswamy under date 26th July 1820. The genuineness of this has been fully challenged by the petitions already. A schedule of Maryades is said to have been attached is no where found, not even in the temple. There are evidences in it to show it is a pure fabrication. We have clearly explained all this in our arguments before the Deputy Commissioner dated 11th May 1905, which may be perused.

5. There is another nirup of date 29th August 1830 produced by them, said to have been given hardly a month after the last one of 26th July 1820 referred to above embodying a new schedule of items of new Maryades prepared and let in to acquire new rights. We have challenged the genuineness of this document

of this document also in our petition and have argued on it in our arguments before the Deputy Commissioner on 11th May 1925.

But these arguments and significance of this nirup and ~~intrinsic~~ evidence against its genuineness have not been considered at all. The original is not forth coming and even the copy contain clear evidence of a ~~concoction~~ Concoction

8. Again a list purporting to be an ayabatanatti under date 10th July 1851 is put in, it is not signed by His Highness as usual, but by some parpattegar and shanbhogue. The genuineness of this has also been challenged as is found in our arguments before the Deputy Commissioner on 11th May 1925. We have shown that the two persons -- the parpattegar and the shanbhogue -- who are supposed to have signed the list did not exist at the time and they were spurious names.

9. After 1833 i.e., after Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar's III unfortunate demise, further attempts were begun to be made by the Mutt to snatch more and more rights. The principle "Might is Right" of the strongest began to rule in Melkote and all the honours and emoluments due to the Tengalai Acharyars and servants of the temple were being gradually usurped by all means at the influential Mutts disregard to the detriment of the Tengalai community. This gave rise to heart - burns, factions and free fight

frequent disputes, but there were none to hear the voices, however loud and justified, of the poor Tengalai Vaishnavas as against the Mutt's power and prestige. But we were not without hope in the fair mindedness of our sovereign's Government though we were despondent that complaints laid against the Rajaguru to the Government might not meet ith a just remedy. But we had no other go but to place the matter fully before the Government for a fair hearing and lucid and public enquiry and trial, which our case had not had for the past ten years mean no disparagement to the officers who have passed through this case nor should we be deemed to be casting on those who successively warded off our petition. but what we are about is to get an impartial and exhaustive and dispassinate hearing of our grievances and a free permanent redress.

Our canarese petition dated 4/11-12-1922 signed by 200 subjects.

We have placed our first appeal before His Highness in our petition dated 4/11th December 1922 signed by 200 subjects.

Typed application to the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore District dated 21-12-1921.

Canarese application to His Highness dated 24-1-22 and another application dated 30-3-22 to the Dewan sahbb of Mysore.

8. Before this we had also submitted a typed application to the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore District on the subject on 21st December 1921. Another application dated 24th January 1922 to His Highness the Maharaja and one more dated 30th March 1922 to the Dewan Saheb of Mysore all on the same subject.

9. To our petition to His Highness, we received an endorsement card from the palace No. 299 dated 18th December 1922 intimating that the paper had been sent to Government for disposal.

Palace Card.

Muzari Commissioner's Order.

10. Also Muzari Commissioner's order No. 1056 dated 10th March 1923 and

11. Also Deputy Commissioner's endorsement No. 598 dated 19th June 1923. were all received.

By these we understood that our case had been taken up for investigation finally by the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore District as stated in letter No. ~~88~~ 598
19-6-23.

12. Nearly two years afterwards, we got a notice dated 6th February 1925 to appear before the Deputy Commissioner to prove our case.

13. We got another one dated 30th March 1925 to the same effect fixing the dates.

14. We accordingly appeared before the Deputy Commissioner with papers and a counsel to move and argue our case and we even placed a brief copy ~~and~~ of our arguments in fourteen typed pages dated 11th May 1925 explaining all the discrepancies and the improbabilities in the challenged papers produced by the Sri Mutt.

15. After a lull of about five years i.e in 19th October 1929, we got from the Palace office a card referring to the above.

16. We also got a letter from the Muzarai Commissioner dated 8th December 1929, which did not refer to our case of 598 dated 19th June 1929 but some appeal numbered as 10 and preferred by the Sri Mutt about some temple dispute as a certain maryade and in a way refusing to consider our complaint.

17. We had again to subrit a petition to the Muzarai Commissioner dated 9th December 1929 stating that their endorsement numbered as our appeal No.10 of 1923-24 had no hearing whatsoever on our petition at issue i.e. the case before the Deputy Commissioner numbered as 598 of dated 19th June 1929; and we stated our case again succinctly from 1921 up to date quoting all references and stating also that we were still awaiting judgement on our arguments put up on 11th May 1925 before the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore District in our case numbered as 598 of 23-25 in Deputy Commissioner's file and requesting him to have that case decreed in some form.

18. The Revenue and General Secretaries to Government wrote to us two letters one dated 2nd February 1921 and another dated 28th June 1921 referring us again and again to the same endorsement No. 10 of 23-24 referred to above which we already

already wrote back saying the order had no bearing to our present case and was not passed after hearing us on the merits of the case.

20. We have copies, other records also to prove the highly aggressive inroads of the Sir Mutt's party and molestatious by them of the weaker party ourselves and how our party was exonerated from the worries between 1816-1836 and in a case before the Commissioner as early as 1856, Sir Mark Cubbon had to find that some frandulent non genuine innovations were being tried to be introduced in a vaishnava temple of the state for some new honours by introducing false papers and how he had to put a slop to such with a high hand." We are prepared on a call to go before you or any impartial officer or committee to sift thoroughly thus vexed and long pending question by examining witness and taking evidence and report or decide there on, we shall go before them and await orders.

21. The authorities to whom these papers went have aparently all been confused by the mass of claims and counter -- claims put up and all our troubles, hardships, and expenses, this poor and helpless party of Vengalai Srivishnavas have taken to represent, our case and grievances have been somewhat laid under a bushel and at it is we have had no judgement yet from the Deputy Commissioner or the Government on our case which we were glad to find at one time, had been taken on the file of the Deputy Commssioner of Mysore as C.No.509

C. No. 503 of 22 - 23.

22. We accordingly pray of your now to order the completion of the above case by a judgment in our favour after hearing us again or by a more exhaustive de novo judicial enquiry giving chances to all parties to have their sayings and pass[illegible] of all judgement to the parties.or institute as required requested above, a proper judicial enquiry by a responsible non_party judicial officer or committee before whom the parties may be asked to argue their points.

23. We are confident that by placing these facts before you in whose impartiality for the aggrieved your humble and loyal memorialists have the greatest confidence, We would not like to move away without a proper redress. We have reluctantly to trouble you again with this petition as we have not no others to go to for a calm and judicious consideration of our real grievances so as to give a great ear to the oft rising aggressions on the one side and their humiliations and privileges accorded to the other side.

24. We will furnish ~~have enclosed~~ for ready reference a few copies of letters etc.. These may be kindly returned with your orders, as the originals of these can be sent for from the respective offices for the file and perusal.

For which benign act of grace, your humble memorialists will ever be dutiful and pray for your long life and prosperity.

Mysore,)
) We beg to remain,
Dated Oct. '21.) Ever your most loyal and devoted
Subjects,
Awaiting for a kind and early endorse-
ment.



IN THE COURT OF THE

Dewan Sahib's Mysore

OF

CASE No OF 1931 1932

Petr

Vs.

Resp

1. We the Petrs above named do, by these presents retain MR. V. LINGAPPAIYA, B A, I L B, ADVOCATE, ಅಮ್ಮಿಕೊಂಡ ಸಿ ಎಂ ಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪಯ್ಯನವರ್ to appear for and conduct the above case on our behalf to Judgment

2 We further empower him to execute any decree or order that may be passed in our behalf, and to receive from court the sums of money as we may be entitled to get and grant receipts therefor

3. We also undertake to confirm and ratify all the legal acts done by the said Adv in so doing

4 We further agree that in case of non-payment of full fees agreed upon, and engaging another Lawyer, you are at liberty to retire from the case without any claim on me for the fees already paid

Dated 1932

Accepted

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No 1099/Secy. 120-31-2 *Dated, Bangalore,* 6th Feb. 1932.

ENDORSEMENT.

To

Sri M.A. Apprameya Iengar, Devamba Agrahar, Mysore

and

H. Asuri Narayana Iyengar, 118, Hosaagrahar, Mysore.

With reference to their review petition dated 19th October 1931 addressed to the Dewan of Mysore, regarding certain Mariyades shown to Sri Parakala Mutt in the Sri Narayanaswami temple at Melkote, the petitioners are informed that all their representations in the matter have already been considered by Government and that the orders communicated to them are final.

By order,

A Seshagiri Rao

Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

GS

C.R.G.3.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mun

File No. 120-31

Serial No. 2.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No 1099.

To ~~Sri~~ M.A. Alphonsus ~~Esqr~~ Barrister-at-Law
~~& Mr. Osmi Narayana (Supra Lingappa Setty B.A. LL.B.,~~
~~Advocate Supreme~~
118, Hon'ble Archer Mansion,
~~Fort~~,

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 8. 2. 1932

Signed by for A. Sabapathy

~~Their~~ letter ~~dated~~ review
with reference to ~~their~~ his 19.10.31 ~~filed~~
~~to~~ addressed to the Dewan & com-
~~municated on behalf of Messrs~~

M.A. Alphonsa Iyengar
and Mr. Narasimhaiyengar
~~Advocates Supreme Court~~ regarding
Certain Marginal Notes in the
Panchama Patta in the
Sri Narayanaswami Temple
at Melkote, ~~I am directed~~
~~to inform you~~ the petitioners ~~are~~ ~~requested~~ that their
representations ~~dated~~ ~~in the matter~~ have
already been considered and

List of enclosures .....

ed by .....

by .....

that the order communicated to me
are final —
~~I have etc~~ B. O.

MM 4/2 To see me all
orders

4/5 3/2 Memo No 1100/Reg. I : : : —
Copy to the V. Lingappiah
B.A., L.L.B., advocate, Jaffna

3 Enclosures
11/2/32



To

The Secretary
To Government
Financial Department
Bangalore

Re Certain Maryadas shown
to Sri Parankalaswamy Math
in Sri [illegible]
Temple Melkote

Most Respected Sir.

With reference to your Endorsement No. 1099/Muz/120-31.2 of 6th February 1932 I most humbly & please peruse the enclosed representations Copies herewith for your honor's kind disposal favourably and render justice as the Nirupanam 8-1830 [illegible] is forged. one. by please seeing our Arguments before the Deputy Commissioner - Mysore on 11-5-1925 Vide C No. 598 of 1922-4 Vol-10 of 6-10-30 Muz Commissioners awaiting favourable orders.

Enc 3

16-2-1932

Yours obediently
M. A. [illegible]

Reply address
M. A. Asthanaya Iyengar
No. 11. West Park Road
Mallesvaram
Bangalore City

Mysore, 15th February 1933.

To

The Dewan
to His Highness the Maharajah of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Petition from the citizens of Melkote regarding
SRI THIRUNARAYANA SWAMY TEMPLE Mary-de affairs.

Respected Sir,

We sent your honour a telegram today as follows:-

Received endorsement 1099/Muz.120-31-8
8th February intimating disposal
finally unjustly dealt pray special
enquiry Melkote Thirunarayanaswamy
Temple,"

which we beg to confirm.

We most humbly beg to state that we are given an endorsement No. 1099/Muz.120-31-32 of 6/8th February 1933 in which our review petition Muz. C. No. 598 of 1932/33 dated 19th October 1932 has not at all been considered. The petitioners are not enquired into, but the above orders are simply communicated which in fact concerned separate petition No.10 of 15-11-1930. We therefore humbly pray that your honour will kindly enquire into the matter and render justice regarding the allegations of Sri Parakalswamy Mutt.

We earnestly beg that our review petition of 10-10-31 presented in person to your honour may kindly and minutely be enquired.

For this act of kindness we shall ever remain grateful.

We beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servants,

Copy

[illegible]

8-12-30

For the Kind personal
of Chief Secretary
To Government
Financial
Department.

16-2-1932

Express Telegram
To The Private
Simla
dt 15-2-1932

GENL. & FEV. SECRETARIAT
27 FEB. 1932
MUZRAI.

To

The Secretary
To Government
Financial Department
Bangalore

From M. A. Apprameya Iyengar

Respected Sir.

Regarding certain Maryadas shown to Parakalswamy mutt in Sri Thirunarayana Swamy (ತಿರುನಾರಾಯಣಸ್ವಾಮಿ) Temple (Melkote ಮೇಲುಕೋಟೆ)

With reference to your Endorsement No. 1099, Muz 120-31-2 Dated 8-2-32 I beg to remind my representation of 16-2-32 regarding the above awaiting favourable reply.

24-2-32

I beg to remain
Sir,
Your obedient servant
M. A. Apprameya Iyengar

Address.
M. A. Apprameya Iyengar
No. 11. West Park Road
Malleswaram
Bangalore

No action taken
Dr.
G.S.
27/2

I am ordered to request that the representation be granted
MSR only if there are no other objections in Endt No. 1099 dt 8-2-32
27/2/32 are found

Another petition addressed to Please
the Diwan is received today.
Put that up also - B
27/2/32

P.T.O.

Perhaps another draft may be
issued to the petr ~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~ stating that the orders
passed cannot be reconsidered

1/3/32

(for Secy)

17/3

2/17/3

6593
-27,

Dy. Secy

Gs 1873

2/21/3/32

Memo No. 1319 of 23.3.32 to the
Petrs. issued.

B
2873

PALACE REGISTRY
15 FEB 1932

GEN. & REVENUE DEPARTMENT
957
17 FEB 1932

Mysore, 15th February 1932.

26.2.32
1473

To

The Dewan
to His Highness the Maharajah of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Petition from the citizens of Melkote regarding SRI THIRUNARAYANA SWAMY TEMPLE Maryade affairs.

Respected Sir,

We sent your honour a telegram today as follows:-

"Received endorsement 1099/Muz.120-31-2 8th February intimating disposal finally unjustly dealt pray special enquiry Melkote Thirunarayanaswamy Temple,"

which we beg to confirm.

We most humbly beg to state that we are given an endorsement No. 1099/Muz.120-31-32 of 6/8th February 1932 in which our review petition Muz. C. No. 598 of 1922/23 dated 19th October 1932 has not at all been considered. The petitioners are not enquired into, but the above orders are simply communicated which in fact concerned separate petition No.10 of 15-11-1930. We therefore humbly pray that your honour will kindly enquire into the matter and render justice regarding the allegations of Sri Parakalswamy Mutt.

We earnestly beg that our review petition of 10-10-31 presented in person to your honour may kindly and minutely be enquired.

For this act of kindness we shall ever remain grateful.

Financial
Executive Committee
Complete
22/2/32

We beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servants,

Encl.1

Appendix F·G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 940

No. 1319 Secy

To

Mr. M. A. Abbasamaya Iyengar
No 11. West Park Road
Malleswaram
Bangalore City.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 23.3.32

Signed by

Letter regd this per No
24/2/32 in the matter of
Certain Encroachments shown
by Sri Varadaraja Swami
Temple to Mr
M. A. Abbasamaya Iyengar
is informed that the areas
passed can not be recommended

B. O.

22/3/32

For Secy to Govt
Revenue.

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

GS
1/3